WEST AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
IMO STATE UNIVERSITY, OWERRI
NIGERIAN EDITION
VOL. 13 NO. 1 JUNE 2024

ASSESSING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MARITIME SECURITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA: A CASE STUDY OF SIFAX SHIPPING COMPANY

ADEMOLA BENSON IRINYEMI
Department of Transport Management,
Redeemer University,
Ede, Osun State.
E-mail: irinyemia@run.edu.ng

ABSTRACT
This research explores the intricate relationship between maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria, focusing on SIFAX Shipping Company. The study aims to uncover how maritime security challenges impact operational efficiency and economic activities within Nigeria' shipping and logistics sector, utilizing both quantitative and qualitative data. Survey data from SIFAX employees and other stakeholders, supplemented by secondary data from industry reports, formed the basis of the analysis. Findings indicate a weak negative correlation between concerns about maritime security threats and organizational response effectiveness (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.1655), suggesting that increased security concerns are associated with decreased perceptions of organizational effectiveness. A t-test revealed no statistically significant difference between perceptions of maritime security's impact on trade efficiency and economic growth (t = -1.2402, p = 0.2179), indicating a nuanced relationship. Regression analysis suggests that perceived improvements in security measures could positively influence broader economic outcomes over time (Regression coefficients: const = 3.2033, Q9 = -0.1951). The study underscores the need for enhanced security measures to mitigate operational disruptions and improve efficiency. It highlights the importance of continuous investment in robust security frameworks and strategic collaboration among government agencies, private sector stakeholders, and international partners. Recommendations include establishing an integrated security framework, investing in technological infrastructure, implementing comprehensive training programs, enforcing regulatory reforms, and fostering public-private partnerships. Despite limitations such as sample size and geographical focus, the findings provide valuable insights for strengthening Nigeria's maritime security landscape and supporting sustainable economic development. The study concludes with suggestions for further research, advocating for longitudinal studies, sectoral analyses, and comparative assessments to deepen understanding of the complex interplay between maritime security and economic growth.
Keywords: Economic growth, Maritime security, Nigeria, SIFAX shipping company.

Introduction

Maritime security is a critical component for the economic growth and development of nations with significant maritime interests, such as Nigeria (Kelechi, 2023). As a country with extensive coastlines along the Gulf of Guinea, Nigeria's economic prosperity is closely linked to the security and efficiency of its maritime domain (Temitope, 2020). This study examines the relationship between maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria, using SIFAX Shipping

Company as a case study to highlight the practical implications and contributions of improved maritime security on economic activities. Nigeria's maritime sector is pivotal to its economy, handling a substantial portion of its international trade (Kelechi, 2023). The country's strategic location makes its ports and shipping lanes crucial for regional and global trade. However, the sector faces numerous security challenges, including piracy, smuggling, and illegal fishing, which significantly impede economic growth (Okafor-Yarwood, 2024). The Nigerian Maritime Administration and Safety Agency (NIMASA) has been at the forefront of addressing these issues by implementing policies and strategies aimed at enhancing maritime security (Elijah, 2022).

The concept of maritime security encompasses various measures and activities designed to protect maritime interests against threats (Bueger, 2015). These include safeguarding vessels, ports, offshore installations, and maritime infrastructure from unlawful acts (Bueger, 2015). Effective maritime security ensures the safe passage of goods and services, thereby fostering economic stability and growth. For Nigeria, enhancing maritime security is not only about combating immediate threats but also about creating a conducive environment for trade and investment (Kelechi, 2023).

SIFAX Shipping Company plays a significant role in Nigeria's maritime sector (Sule, 2019). As one of the leading logistics and shipping companies, SIFAX's operations provide a clear example of how improved maritime security can drive economic growth. By implementing robust security measures, SIFAX ensures the safe and efficient handling of cargo, which in turn attracts more business and investment. This contributes to job creation, revenue generation, and overall economic development. The company's success underscores the broader economic benefits that can be realized when maritime security is prioritized (Amuka, 2021).

The economic implications of maritime security are profound. Insecure maritime environments lead to increased shipping costs due to higher insurance premiums, the need for security escorts, and potential delays (Martínez-Vázquez, 2021). These costs are often passed on to consumers, affecting the overall economy. Conversely, secure maritime environments promote trade efficiency, reduce operational costs, and enhance the competitiveness of local industries. This relationship is evident in Nigeria, where improvements in maritime security have been linked to increased economic activities and growth (Amuka, 2021). Furthermore, the maritime sector is integral to the blue economy, which emphasizes the sustainable use of ocean resources for economic growth, improved livelihoods, and jobs while preserving the health of ocean ecosystems (Elegbede, 2023).

Nigeria's commitment to developing its blue economy is evident in its strategic initiatives aimed at enhancing port infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and security measures. These efforts are designed to optimize the economic potential of the maritime sector while ensuring environmental sustainability (Martínez-Vázquez, 2021). The challenges facing Nigeria's maritime sector are multifaceted, involving both external threats and internal inefficiencies. Piracy in the Gulf of Guinea, for instance, poses a significant threat to maritime security, affecting both local and international shipping activities. (Martínez-Vázquez, 2021).

In response, Nigeria has increased naval patrols and collaborated with international partners to enhance maritime domain awareness and security operations. These measures have

begun to yield positive results, as evidenced by a decline in reported piracy incidents in recent years (Amuka, 2021). The experience of SIFAX Shipping Company highlights the practical benefits of robust maritime security measures, which extend beyond the company to the broader economy. By ensuring safe and efficient maritime operations, Nigeria can attract more trade, investment, and economic activities, ultimately fostering sustainable economic growth. This study aims to explore these dynamics in detail, providing insights into how improved maritime security can drive economic development in Nigeria.

Statement of the Problem

Maritime security is a significant challenge for Nigeria, a country whose economy heavily relies on its maritime sector (Kelechi, 2023). Despite the strategic importance of Nigeria's coastline and ports in facilitating international trade, the country faces persistent maritime security threats, including piracy, armed robbery at sea, smuggling, and illegal fishing (Oyewole, 2023). These threats not only endanger lives and property but also undermine economic growth by disrupting trade, increasing shipping costs, and deterring investment (Oyewole, 2023). The Gulf of Guinea, particularly Nigerian waters, has been identified as a global hotspot for piracy and other maritime crimes (Anyika, 2022). The International Maritime Bureau (IMB) reported a high incidence of pirate attacks in this region, which affects the safe passage of goods and services (Anyika, 2022).

This insecurity translates into higher insurance premiums for shipping companies, elevated operational costs, and delays, all of which impact the overall economic environment negatively. The economic repercussions of such insecurity are substantial, with direct costs running into billions of dollars annually due to ransom payments, increased security measures, and loss of cargo (Muhdar, 2022). Despite various efforts by the Nigerian government and international partners to combat maritime insecurity, the effectiveness of these measures remains inconsistent. The Nigerian government launched several initiatives, such as the Deep Blue Project, aimed at improving maritime security through increased patrols, surveillance, and enforcement of maritime laws. However, challenges such as corruption, inadequate infrastructure, and limited resources hamper these efforts, resulting in a persistent threat to maritime operations (Muhdar, 2022).

Therefore, this study aims to explore the intricate relationship between maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria, using SIFAX Shipping Company as a case study. It seeks to identify the specific security challenges faced by the maritime sector, evaluate the impact of these challenges on economic activities, and propose actionable recommendations for enhancing maritime security to foster economic growth. By focusing on SIFAX, this study provides a detailed examination of how maritime security influences the operational efficiency and economic contributions of a key player in Nigeria's shipping industry, offering insights that can inform broader policy and strategic initiatives. This study intends to provide answers to the following research questions: What are the primary maritime security challenges faced by SIFAX Shipping Company, and how do these challenges impact its operations? How does maritime insecurity affect economic activities and growth in Nigeria, particularly in relation to the shipping and logistics sector? What strategies and measures can be implemented to enhance maritime

security in Nigeria, and how can these improvements contribute to the economic growth of the country?

Objectives of the Study

The main Objective to examine the relationship between maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria, using SIFAX Shipping Company as a case study.
Specific Objectives include to:

(i) identify and analyze the primary maritime security challenges faced by SIFAX Shipping Company and other stakeholders in Nigeria's maritime sector;

(ii) assess the impact of maritime insecurity on economic activities, trade efficiency, and overall economic growth in Nigeria; and

(iii) propose actionable measures and strategies to improve maritime security in Nigeria and evaluate their potential benefits for economic growth and development.

Significance of the Study

This study is significant in several ways, particularly in enhancing the understanding of the relationship between maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria. Previous research has predominantly focused on the broader regional impacts of maritime insecurity in the Gulf of Guinea, often overlooking the specific implications for individual companies and detailed economic analyses. For example, studies by Onuoha (2013) and Okafor-Yarwood (2020) highlighted the general effects of piracy and illegal activities on regional trade but did not delve into company-specific case studies or the direct economic consequences on Nigeria's economy (MDPI). This study differs from earlier works by providing a detailed case study of SIFAX Shipping Company, a major player in Nigeria's maritime sector.

By focusing on a specific company, this research offers a micro-level analysis that complements the macro-level insights of previous studies. This approach allows for a nuanced understanding of how maritime security issues directly affect business operations, costs, and economic outcomes. Furthermore, this study employs both qualitative and quantitative methods to assess the impact of maritime security on economic activities. This mixed-method approach ensures a comprehensive analysis, combining statistical data with insights from industry experts and stakeholders. Previous studies often relied heavily on either qualitative interviews or quantitative data alone, which might not fully capture the complex dynamics at play (MDPI).

Additionally, the study provides actionable recommendations tailored to the Nigerian context, considering the unique challenges and opportunities within the country's maritime sector. This focus on practical solutions distinguishes it from earlier research, which often emphasized problem identification without offering detailed, context-specific strategies for improvement. By addressing these gaps and offering a detailed, company-focused analysis, this study aims to contribute significantly to the academic literature and provide valuable insights for policymakers, industry leaders, and other stakeholders. It highlights the critical importance of maritime security in driving economic growth and offers a roadmap for enhancing security measures to foster a more prosperous and secure maritime environment in Nigeria.

Scope of the Study

This study focuses on examining the relationship between maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria, with a specific case study of SIFAX Shipping Company. The scope includes an analysis of the primary maritime security challenges faced by SIFAX, the impact of these challenges on its operations and the broader economic implications for Nigeria. The study covers data and events from the past decade to provide a comprehensive overview. However, it is delimited to SIFAX and does not extensively cover other maritime companies or regions outside Nigeria. The study also primarily utilizes data from industry reports, government publications, and interviews with key stakeholders, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other contexts or periods.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Review

Economic Impact of Maritime Insecurity

Maritime insecurity has profound and far-reaching economic repercussions that can significantly hinder national and regional economic growth (Kelechi, Maritime Security and Nigeria's Economic Development, 2023). This section explores these impacts by delving into increased shipping costs, trade disruptions, and the deterrence of foreign investment, drawing on relevant literature to provide a comprehensive analysis. Increased shipping costs are one of the most immediate economic impacts of maritime insecurity. Piracy and armed robbery at sea necessitate higher insurance premiums for shipping companies, which in turn increases the cost of shipping goods (Julius, 2022). According to studies by Onuoha (2013) and the International Maritime Bureau (IMB), vessels operating in high-risk areas like the Gulf of Guinea incur additional security expenses, including the hiring of private security personnel and the implementation of onboard security measures.

These costs are often passed on to consumers through higher prices for imported goods, ultimately affecting the cost of living and economic stability in the region. Trade disruptions are another significant consequence of maritime insecurity. Frequent attacks on commercial vessels lead to delays and rerouting of shipping lanes, causing inefficiencies in the supply chain. The World Bank (2016) highlights that such disruptions can result in substantial economic losses, especially for countries like Nigeria, which heavily depend on maritime trade for their economic activities. Delays in the delivery of goods can lead to shortages and increased prices, while rerouting ships to avoid high-risk areas extends transit times and operational costs.

The deterrence of foreign investment is also a critical issue arising from maritime insecurity. Investors are generally risk-averse and prefer to avoid regions where the security of their investments cannot be guaranteed. The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD, 2019) points out that persistent maritime insecurity can lead to a decline in foreign direct investment (FDI), as companies may seek safer alternatives in other regions. This reduction in FDI hampers economic growth by limiting the influx of capital, technology transfer, and job creation opportunities that are vital for developing economies. Moreover, the cumulative effect of these economic repercussions can be severe for national and regional economies. Increased costs, trade inefficiencies, and reduced investment collectively stifle economic growth.

The International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and the IMB have noted that regions plagued by maritime insecurity often experience slower economic development, as businesses are less willing to engage in trade and investment activities under such volatile conditions. To address these challenges, it is essential to enhance maritime security through comprehensive policies and international cooperation. Effective measures include strengthening naval patrols, improving legal frameworks for prosecuting maritime crimes, and fostering regional collaborations to share intelligence and resources. The Deep Blue Project launched by Nigeria is an example of such an initiative aimed at improving maritime security through a coordinated approach involving various stakeholders.

Economic Growth and Its Indicators. Economic growth is a fundamental aspect of national development, signifying an increase in the market value of goods and services produced within a country over a specific period. It is a critical measure of an economy's health and its capacity to improve the standard of living for its citizens. This section delves into the key indicators of economic growth, including Gross Domestic Product (GDP), trade volumes, and investment levels, and discusses their importance and measurement. Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is the most widely used indicator of economic growth. It represents the total value of all goods and services produced within a country's borders in a given time frame, typically a year or a quarter. GDP can be measured using three approaches: production (or output) approach, income approach, and expenditure approach. Each method provides a different perspective on economic activity but should theoretically yield the same GDP figure. GDP growth rates are crucial as they indicate the pace at which an economy is expanding or contracting.

Sustained GDP growth is often associated with improved living standards, higher employment levels, and increased fiscal revenues for governments (MDPI). Trade volumes, encompassing both exports and imports, are another significant indicator of economic growth. Trade enables countries to specialize in the production of goods and services where they have a comparative advantage, leading to increased efficiency and higher output. An increase in export volumes can be particularly beneficial as it signifies greater demand for a country's products and services abroad, which can stimulate domestic production and employment. Conversely, import volumes can indicate domestic consumption levels and the availability of a variety of goods and services to consumers. Studies by Frankel and Romer (1999) and Rodriguez and Rodrik (2001) have shown a positive correlation between trade openness and economic growth, although the extent and nature of this relationship can vary depending on a range of factors, including the country's level of development and trade policies (MDPI).

Investment levels, including both domestic and foreign direct investment (FDI), are crucial for sustaining economic growth. Investment in infrastructure, technology, and human capital enhances a country's productive capacity and efficiency. Domestic investment often reflects the confidence of local businesses and consumers in the economy, while FDI brings not only capital but also technology transfer, management expertise, and access to international markets. Studies by Borensztein, De Gregorio, and Lee (1998) have highlighted the importance of FDI in driving economic growth, particularly in developing countries, by bridging the gap between domestic savings and the necessary investment for growth (MDPI).

Economic growth is measured not only by these quantitative indicators but also by their qualitative impacts on the population's well-being. Sustainable economic growth should ideally lead to job creation, poverty reduction, and improvements in health, education, and overall quality of life. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) emphasize inclusive and sustainable economic growth as a pathway to achieving broader development objectives. Understanding these indicators is crucial for policymakers, businesses, and international organizations as they design and implement strategies to foster economic development. Accurate measurement and analysis of GDP, trade volumes, and investment levels provide a basis for assessing economic performance and making informed decisions to promote growth and development.

Relationship Between Maritime Security and Economic Growth

The relationship between maritime security and economic growth is multifaceted and significant. Maritime security refers to the protection of maritime domains against threats such as piracy, smuggling, and terrorism. These threats, if unchecked, can severely disrupt trade routes, increase shipping costs, and deter foreign investment, thereby impeding economic growth. This section examines both theoretical perspectives and practical examples to elucidate how secure maritime environments contribute to economic prosperity. From a theoretical standpoint, maritime security is integral to maintaining the smooth flow of international trade. Secure maritime environments ensure the safety of shipping lanes, which are critical arteries for global trade. When maritime routes are safe, shipping companies face lower insurance premiums and operational costs. This reduction in costs can enhance trade efficiency by making it cheaper and faster to transport goods across borders. The efficient movement of goods stimulates trade volumes, which is a key driver of economic growth, as highlighted in classical and modern economic theories of trade and development (Stopford, 2009). Empirical evidence supports the theoretical link between maritime security and economic growth. For instance, the Gulf of Guinea, a region notorious for piracy, has experienced significant economic losses due to maritime insecurity. The International Maritime Bureau (IMB) reported that piracy in this region increased shipping costs and delayed shipments, leading to higher costs of goods and reduced trade competitiveness. These disruptions have tangible impacts on the economies of affected countries, including Nigeria, by reducing their GDP growth potential (Onuoha, 2013).

Secure maritime environments also attract foreign investment, which is crucial for economic growth. Foreign investors are typically risk-averse and prefer stable environments where their investments are protected. Maritime security plays a crucial role in creating such environments by ensuring that maritime infrastructure and trade routes are safe. For example, countries with robust maritime security frameworks, such as Singapore, have become attractive destinations for foreign direct investment (FDI). The influx of FDI brings not only capital but also technology and expertise, which can spur innovation and productivity in the domestic economy (Borensztein, De Gregorio, & Lee, 1998). Moreover, maritime security enhances economic prosperity by protecting critical maritime infrastructure such as ports and shipping terminals. Ports are pivotal to the global supply chain, serving as the entry and exit points for international trade. When ports are secure, they operate more efficiently, reducing the turnaround time for

ships and facilitating smoother trade operations. Improved port efficiency can significantly boost trade volumes and economic growth, as evidenced by the economic performance of major global port cities (UNCTAD, 2019).

Practical examples further illustrate the positive impact of maritime security on economic growth. The implementation of the Djibouti Code of Conduct, an international agreement aimed at combating piracy in the Western Indian Ocean, led to a significant reduction in piracy incidents. This improvement in maritime security resulted in lower shipping costs and increased trade flows in the region. Similarly, Nigeria's Deep Blue Project, which aims to enhance maritime security through coordinated efforts between various security agencies, is expected to bolster economic activities by making Nigerian waters safer for maritime operations (IMO, 2019).

Case Studies and Comparative Analyses

This section reviews case studies and comparative analyses from various regions, including Nigeria and other countries, to illustrate the impact of maritime security on economic growth. It highlights lessons learned and best practices that can be applied to the Nigerian context. One notable case study is the Gulf of Aden, where maritime security interventions have significantly improved economic outcomes. The implementation of international naval patrols and the establishment of a piracy reporting center by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) led to a dramatic decrease in piracy incidents. As a result, shipping costs decreased, trade routes remained open, and the regional economies, particularly those of Somalia and Yemen, saw a resurgence in trade activities (World Bank, 2013). Comparatively, Nigeria has faced persistent challenges in maritime security, particularly in the Gulf of Guinea. Piracy, armed robbery, and oil theft have plagued the region, leading to increased shipping costs and trade disruptions. However, recent initiatives such as Nigeria's Deep Blue Project, which involves a coordinated effort between various security agencies and the deployment of advanced surveillance equipment, have shown promise in mitigating these threats. Initial reports indicate a reduction in piracy incidents, suggesting that improved maritime security can positively influence economic activities by ensuring safer maritime operations (NIMASA, 2020).

The case of Singapore provides another compelling example. Singapore has developed one of the world's most secure and efficient port systems through robust maritime security measures and advanced technology integration. The Port of Singapore Authority (PSA) utilizes real-time monitoring systems, automated container handling, and stringent security protocols to ensure the safety and efficiency of port operations. These measures have made Singapore a global maritime hub, attracting significant foreign investment and contributing substantially to its GDP (Singapore Economic Development Board, 2019). Lessons from these case studies underscore the importance of international cooperation, advanced technology, and coordinated national strategies in enhancing maritime security. For Nigeria, adopting best practices from successful models like those in Singapore and the Gulf of Aden can be instrumental.

This includes investing in advanced surveillance technologies, fostering international collaborations for joint maritime patrols, and implementing comprehensive national maritime security strategies. Comparative analyses also reveal the economic benefits of improved maritime security. For instance, the Strait of Malacca, a critical shipping lane in Southeast Asia,

experienced a decline in piracy incidents following enhanced security measures by littoral states and increased cooperation with international naval forces. This led to a reduction in shipping insurance premiums and operational costs, thereby boosting trade volumes and economic growth in the region (Beckman, 2009). In the Nigerian context, improving maritime security can have significant positive effects on the economy. Enhanced security measures can reduce the costs associated with maritime insurance and cargo loss, making Nigerian ports more attractive to international shipping companies. This, in turn, can increase trade volumes and attract foreign direct investment, fostering economic growth and development.

Theoretical Review

Maritime Security Theory

Maritime security theory encompasses a range of foundational theories and concepts aimed at understanding and addressing threats to maritime environments. These theories are essential for developing effective strategies to protect maritime domains, which are crucial for global trade and economic stability. One fundamental concept in maritime security is the "Sea Power" theory, articulated by Alfred Thayer Mahan. Mahan emphasized the strategic importance of naval dominance and control over critical sea lanes to ensure national security and economic prosperity. His theory suggests that a nation's maritime security capabilities are directly linked to its ability to project power and protect its interests at sea (Mahan, 1890).

Maritime security threats can be categorized into various dimensions, including piracy, smuggling, terrorism, and environmental hazards. Piracy and armed robbery at sea are among the most prevalent threats, particularly in regions like the Gulf of Guinea and the Strait of Malacca. Theoretical frameworks addressing piracy often focus on the economic and social conditions that foster such activities. For instance, Murphy (2007) argues that piracy is frequently a response to poverty, lack of state control, and lucrative opportunities for criminals. Smuggling, another critical threat, involves the illegal transportation of goods, such as weapons, drugs, and human trafficking. The theoretical approach to combating smuggling often involves strengthening border controls, enhancing intelligence-sharing among nations, and improving maritime domain awareness (McNicholas, 2008). Maritime terrorism poses a significant risk to global security, with theoretical discussions often centered around the vulnerabilities of critical maritime infrastructure, such as ports and shipping routes.

Theories in this area emphasize the need for robust security protocols, international cooperation, and the integration of advanced technologies to detect and deter terrorist activities (Chalk, 2008).

Environmental security, encompassing threats like illegal fishing and marine pollution, is increasingly recognized in maritime security discourse. The "Blue Economy" concept underscores the sustainable use of ocean resources for economic growth while ensuring marine ecosystem health. Theoretical frameworks here advocate for stronger regulatory measures, international agreements, and the adoption of sustainable practices to mitigate environmental threats (Smith-Godfrey, 2016). Strategic frameworks used to address maritime security challenges often involve a combination of military, economic, and diplomatic measures.

The "Maritime Security Strategy" framework outlines the comprehensive approach needed to safeguard maritime domains. This includes enhancing naval capabilities, fostering regional and international partnerships, and implementing legal frameworks to prosecute maritime crimes effectively (Till, 2009). One prominent example of a strategic framework is the Djibouti Code of Conduct, which focuses on cooperation among East African and Gulf states to combat piracy and armed robbery. This framework emphasizes capacity-building, information sharing, and coordinated patrols to enhance maritime security in the region (IMO, 2017).

Economic Growth Theories

Economic growth theories provide crucial insights into the mechanisms driving the expansion of economic activity within a country. These theories help explain the factors that contribute to increases in GDP, trade volumes, and investment levels, all of which are key indicators of economic growth. This section reviews the classical, neoclassical, and endogenous growth theories and their relevance to trade and investment.

Classical Growth Theory

The classical growth theory, developed by economists such as Adam Smith and David Ricardo, posits that economic growth is driven primarily by the accumulation of capital and labor, alongside advancements in technology. Adam Smith's concept of the "invisible hand" suggests that free markets, driven by individuals seeking to maximize their self-interest, lead to efficient allocation of resources and economic growth. Ricardo's theory of comparative advantage emphasizes the benefits of trade, where countries specialize in producing goods where they have a relative efficiency, thus boosting overall economic output and growth (Smith, 1776; Ricardo, 1817).

Neoclassical Growth Theory

Neoclassical growth theory, epitomized by the Solow-Swan model, introduces the role of technological progress as a key driver of long-term economic growth. According to this theory, economic growth results from increases in capital, labor, and, critically, technological advancements that enhance productivity. The Solow model suggests that while capital accumulation and labor growth are important, they eventually face diminishing returns. Therefore, sustained economic growth relies heavily on technological innovation. This theory underscores the importance of investment in research and development (R&D) and education to foster technological progress (Solow, 1956). Neoclassical theory also highlights the role of trade in promoting economic growth. By allowing countries to import technologies and goods that they cannot produce efficiently themselves, trade can lead to a more efficient allocation of resources and spur productivity improvements. Empirical studies, such as those by Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1995), have shown that open economies tend to grow faster than closed ones, due to greater access to technologies and larger markets for their products.

Endogenous Growth Theory

Endogenous growth theory, advanced by economists like Paul Romer and Robert Lucas, builds on the neoclassical framework by emphasizing that economic growth is primarily driven by factors within the economy rather than external influences. This theory argues that

investment in human capital, innovation, and knowledge creation are central to sustaining long-term economic growth. Unlike the neoclassical model, endogenous growth theory does not assume diminishing returns to capital. Instead, it posits that investments in human capital and innovation can lead to increasing returns and perpetual economic growth (Romer, 1990; Lucas, 1988). Endogenous growth theory also stresses the importance of policy measures in promoting growth. Governments can play a crucial role by investing in education, infrastructure, and R&D, which in turn enhances the productive capacity of the economy. Additionally, policies that encourage entrepreneurship and innovation can lead to the creation of new industries and technologies, further driving economic growth.

Relevance to Trade and Investment

All these theories underline the importance of trade and investment in economic growth. Trade enables countries to specialize in the production of goods and services where they have a comparative advantage, leading to more efficient resource allocation and higher productivity. Investment, particularly in technology and human capital, is crucial for driving innovation and improving productivity, which are essential for sustained economic growth. In the context of Nigeria, applying these theories can provide valuable insights into how enhancing maritime security can support economic growth. For instance, secure maritime environments can facilitate trade by ensuring the safe and efficient movement of goods, thereby boosting trade volumes. Moreover, by attracting foreign investment through improved security, Nigeria can benefit from the transfer of technology and capital, which can spur innovation and productivity improvements in the economy.

Linkage Between Security and Economic Development

The relationship between security and economic development is deeply intertwined, with security serving as both a prerequisite and a catalyst for sustainable economic growth. This section reviews theoretical perspectives on how security, including maritime security, impacts economic stability and growth. Economic development theory posits that a secure environment is foundational for fostering economic activities. Without security, economic agents—whether they are businesses, investors, or consumers—face higher risks and costs that can stymie economic growth. The concept of "human security," as developed by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) in the 1990s, expands the traditional notion of security to include economic security, food security, health security, environmental security, personal security, community security, and political security.

This comprehensive view underscores that economic development cannot be achieved without addressing security in its broadest sense (UNDP, 1994). In the realm of maritime security, the linkage to economic development is particularly pronounced. Secure maritime environments are essential for the free flow of goods and services across borders. Theories of international trade emphasize that safe and efficient transportation routes are critical for global trade, which in turn drives economic growth. Maritime security ensures that shipping lanes remain open and safe from threats such as piracy, armed robbery, and maritime terrorism. Disruptions in these lanes can lead to significant economic losses, increased insurance costs, and a reluctance of

shipping companies to operate in high-risk areas, all of which negatively impact trade and economic growth (Bueger, 2015).

Furthermore, the "securitization of trade" framework highlights how securing trade routes and ports can enhance a country's economic prospects. This theory posits that by investing in security infrastructure and international cooperation to protect maritime routes, countries can foster a more stable and attractive environment for trade and investment. This is particularly relevant for developing countries where maritime routes are often plagued by security challenges. Improved maritime security can lead to increased foreign direct investment (FDI), as investors are more likely to commit capital in regions where their assets are protected (Bateman, 2011).

The positive impact of maritime security on economic development is evident in various empirical studies. For example, enhanced maritime security in the Malacca Strait, achieved through cooperation among Indonesia, Malaysia, and Singapore, has led to a significant reduction in piracy incidents. This improvement in security has bolstered regional trade and economic activities by reducing shipping costs and insurance premiums. Similarly, the Gulf of Guinea, a region historically afflicted by maritime insecurity, has seen economic benefits from international naval patrols and regional security initiatives aimed at combating piracy and armed robbery at sea (Percy & Shortland, 2013). Theoretical models also emphasize the role of institutions and governance in linking security to economic development.

The "institutional economics" theory argues that strong institutions and effective governance are crucial for maintaining security and fostering economic growth. In the maritime context, this involves the establishment of robust legal frameworks, efficient law enforcement agencies, and international cooperation to ensure maritime security. Effective governance can reduce corruption, improve resource allocation, and enhance the overall business environment, thereby promoting economic development (North, 1990). In conclusion, the linkage between security and economic development is well-supported by theoretical perspectives. Security, particularly maritime security, plays a critical role in ensuring the stability and efficiency of trade routes, which are essential for economic growth. By creating a safe environment for economic activities, countries can attract investment, reduce costs, and enhance their economic prospects. This literature review underscores the importance of integrating security considerations into economic development strategies, particularly in regions like Nigeria where maritime security challenges are significant. This research aims to further explore these dynamics, providing insights into how improved maritime security can drive economic growth in Nigeria.

Empirical Review

Impact of Maritime Security on Shipping Costs

Maritime security significantly influences shipping costs and trade efficiency, as evidenced by various empirical studies. In regions where maritime threats like piracy and armed robbery are prevalent, shipping companies incur higher costs due to increased insurance premiums, the need for additional security measures, and potential disruptions in trade routes. In Nigeria, the Gulf of Guinea is notorious for its high incidence of piracy, which has substantial

economic implications. Onuoha (2013) documented that Nigerian shipping companies face elevated operational costs due to persistent maritime insecurity. This aligns with findings from other high-risk areas, such as the Strait of Malacca, where coordinated efforts to enhance maritime security have successfully reduced piracy incidents, resulting in lower insurance costs and improved trade efficiency (Beckman, 2009).

The cost implications of maritime insecurity extend beyond insurance. Shipping companies often need to invest in armed guards, secure routing measures, and other defensive strategies, which collectively increase the cost of shipping. For instance, in the Gulf of Guinea, the cost of deploying armed guards can significantly add to the expenses incurred by shipping companies. These costs are ultimately passed on to consumers and businesses, increasing the overall cost of goods and services. Empirical studies emphasize that improved maritime security can lead to substantial cost savings and trade efficiency. A study by the International Maritime Bureau (IMB) indicated that a reduction in piracy incidents in the Strait of Malacca led to a significant decrease in shipping insurance premiums. Similarly, a World Bank report (2013) highlighted that international naval patrols and regional security initiatives in the Gulf of Aden resulted in lower shipping costs and increased trade flows in the region.

In Nigeria, recent initiatives such as the Deep Blue Project aim to enhance maritime security by deploying advanced surveillance technology and coordinating efforts among various security agencies. Initial data suggest that these measures are beginning to yield positive results. For instance, the Nigerian Maritime Administration and Safety Agency (NIMASA) reported a decline in piracy incidents, which is expected to lower the cost of maritime operations and enhance trade efficiency (NIMASA, 2020). Comparative studies from other regions further illustrate the economic benefits of improved maritime security. In the Asia-Pacific region, the Regional Cooperation Agreement on Combating Piracy and Armed Robbery against Ships in Asia (ReCAAP) has facilitated information sharing and coordinated responses to maritime threats, leading to a significant reduction in piracy incidents and associated costs (Bateman, 2011). This model provides valuable lessons for Nigeria, highlighting the importance of regional cooperation and robust security frameworks.

Influence of Maritime Security on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)

Empirical evidence underscores the crucial role of maritime security in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI), as secure environments are fundamental for fostering investor confidence. This section reviews studies focusing on how improved maritime security impacts FDI, with particular attention to Nigeria and comparative case studies. Foreign direct investment is a key driver of economic growth, providing capital, technology, and expertise to host countries. However, the decision of multinational corporations to invest in a country is heavily influenced by the perceived risk and security of their investments. Maritime security, in particular, plays a significant role in this context, especially for countries reliant on maritime trade. In Nigeria, maritime insecurity in the Gulf of Guinea has historically been a significant deterrent to FDI.

The region's high incidence of piracy, armed robbery, and other maritime crimes have posed substantial risks to shipping and offshore oil and gas operations. These risks elevate the costs of doing business, as companies must invest in additional security measures and pay higher

insurance premiums. Onuoha (2013) highlighted that the persistent maritime threats in Nigeria have resulted in a cautious approach from potential investors, who view the region as high-risk. Comparative empirical studies from other regions provide a clear picture of how improved maritime security can attract FDI. For instance, the decline in piracy incidents in the Strait of Malacca, achieved through coordinated international maritime security efforts, has significantly boosted investor confidence in Southeast Asia.

Beckman (2009) reported that enhanced maritime security in this region led to increased investments in port infrastructure and related industries, as the risks associated with maritime trade were significantly reduced. Similarly, in the Gulf of Aden, international naval patrols and regional cooperation under initiatives like the Combined Maritime Forces (CMF) have effectively reduced piracy incidents. A World Bank report (2013) indicated that these improvements in maritime security have facilitated a more stable and predictable business environment, thereby attracting higher levels of FDI. The report emphasized that secure maritime routes are essential for ensuring the safe and efficient transport of goods, which is a critical factor for investors considering large-scale investments.

In the Nigerian context, recent initiatives aimed at improving maritime security have shown promising results. The Deep Blue Project, launched by the Nigerian Maritime Administration and Safety Agency (NIMASA), aims to enhance maritime security through the deployment of advanced surveillance technology, better coordination among security agencies, and increased regional cooperation. Initial data suggest that these efforts are beginning to reduce maritime crime, which could positively influence investor perceptions and decisions (NIMASA, 2020). Furthermore, a study by the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) found that countries with robust maritime security frameworks tend to attract more FDI. The study highlighted that investors prioritize security when making investment decisions, as it directly impacts the safety and profitability of their investments. For Nigeria, improving maritime security could therefore be a key strategy for attracting more FDI, particularly in sectors like oil and gas, shipping, and port infrastructure.

Economic Growth Outcomes from Maritime Security Initiatives

Empirical evidence highlights that maritime security initiatives have far-reaching impacts on economic growth and sectoral development. This section reviews studies that examine the broader economic benefits of enhanced maritime security, focusing on GDP growth and specific sectors such as shipping, trade, and oil and gas, with an emphasis on Nigeria and comparative examples from other regions. Maritime security initiatives are crucial for creating a stable environment conducive to economic activities. In regions like the Gulf of Guinea, where maritime threats such as piracy and armed robbery have been prevalent, these initiatives can significantly influence economic outcomes. A study by Onuoha (2013) found that the pervasive insecurity in Nigerian waters has adversely affected the country's economic performance, particularly in the shipping and oil sectors. These sectors are vital to Nigeria’s economy, and their disruption due to maritime insecurity has had ripple effects on overall economic growth.

Empirical studies from other regions offer valuable insights into the economic benefits of maritime security. In Southeast Asia, coordinated efforts to combat piracy in the Strait of Malacca

have led to substantial economic gains. Beckman (2009) reported that the reduction in piracy incidents has not only lowered shipping costs but also increased the volume of trade passing through the region, thereby boosting GDP growth. This case illustrates how effective maritime security can enhance trade efficiency and economic performance. Similarly, in the Gulf of Aden, international naval patrols and regional cooperation under initiatives like the Combined Maritime Forces (CMF) have led to significant economic benefits. A World Bank report (2013) highlighted that improved maritime security in this region has facilitated safer and more reliable shipping routes, which has encouraged greater trade activity and economic development. The report emphasized that secure maritime environments are essential for the smooth operation of global supply chains, which are critical for economic growth.

In Nigeria, recent maritime security initiatives such as the Deep Blue Project, spearheaded by the Nigerian Maritime Administration and Safety Agency (NIMASA), aim to address the challenges posed by maritime insecurity. This project involves deploying advanced surveillance technology, enhancing coordination among security agencies, and fostering regional cooperation. Initial results indicate a decline in maritime crime, which is expected to positively impact economic growth by boosting investor confidence and reducing the costs associated with maritime trade (NIMASA, 2020). Moreover, improved maritime security can lead to sectoral development, particularly in the oil and gas industry, which is a cornerstone of Nigeria's economy. The secure maritime environment ensures the safe transport of oil and gas, thereby preventing losses and reducing operational risks. This stability can attract more investment into the sector, further driving economic growth. For instance, a secure maritime corridor in the Gulf of Guinea could significantly enhance Nigeria's oil export capabilities, contributing to higher GDP growth. Comparative studies from other regions also underscore the broader economic impacts of maritime security. In East Africa, initiatives to secure maritime routes have led to increased tourism, fishing, and maritime trade activities. These developments have contributed to GDP growth and sectoral diversification, highlighting the multifaceted economic benefits of maritime security.

Gaps Identified in the Literature and hypotheses development

Although extensive research exists on the relationship between maritime security and economic growth, fewer studies specifically address this issue within the Nigerian context, which presents unique challenges and opportunities. This research seeks to fill this gap by focusing on Nigeria's specific maritime security environment and its economic implications. While many studies have explored the impact of maritime security on shipping costs and trade efficiency, there is a noticeable lack of comprehensive, localized data for Nigeria. Much of the existing research relies on case studies from other regions such as Southeast Asia and the Gulf of Aden, which may not fully capture the particularities of Nigerian waters. This gap emphasizes the need for in-depth, context-specific research that provides detailed insights into how maritime security initiatives impact Nigeria's economic landscape. Furthermore, there is limited empirical evidence linking specific maritime security initiatives in Nigeria to increased foreign direct investment (FDI). While theoretical models suggest a positive correlation between improved security and investment, empirical validation within the Nigerian context is sparse. This research aims to

quantify the impact of initiatives like the Deep Blue Project on FDI inflows, offering concrete data to inform policymakers and stakeholders.

Research Hypotheses

H1: Maritime security challenges significantly impact the operational efficiency of SIFAX Shipping Company.

H2: Maritime insecurity negatively affects economic activities and growth in Nigeria's shipping and logistics sector.

H3: Implementing enhanced maritime security measures will positively contribute to economic growth in Nigeria.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

Smith and Albaum (2010) define research design as the specific methods and procedures for acquiring the information needed, providing a framework for collecting and analyzing data (Ighomereho, 2021). Various research designs, including cross-sectional, longitudinal, experimental, and case-study designs, are used in both academic and non-academic research. The chosen research design for this study is the cross-sectional design, as it facilitates the collection of data pertaining to several variables from numerous respondents. Cross-sectional research can be descriptive, exploratory, or explanatory, and is effective for gathering data from a large group of people at a single point in time.

This design is particularly useful for determining the existence and nature of relationships between multiple variables (Cherry, 2022). In this study, a survey approach is employed, leveraging the strengths of the cross-sectional design to collect a snapshot of data relevant to maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria. Carroll and Roundy (2022) describe cross-sectional research as capturing a moment in time, enabling researchers to gather timely information from multiple respondents. The features of the cross-sectional research design, including its efficiency and capability to uncover relationships among variables, informed its selection for this research. By employing a cross-sectional design, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how maritime security impacts economic growth in Nigeria, with a focus on SIFAX Shipping Company. The data collected will offer valuable insights into the dynamics at play, informing both academic discourse and practical policy-making in the field of maritime security and economic development.

Population of the Study

The population of this study comprises stakeholders in Nigeria's maritime sector, with a specific focus on individuals and entities associated with SIFAX Shipping Company. This includes employees of SIFAX Shipping Company, maritime security personnel, port authorities, and officials from the Nigerian Maritime Administration and Safety Agency (NIMASA). Additionally, the study encompasses other key players in the maritime industry, such as shipping companies, importers, exporters, and relevant government agencies involved in maritime security and economic development. This diverse population is selected to provide comprehensive insights

into the relationship between maritime security and economic growth. By including a wide range of stakeholders, the study aims to capture various perspectives and experiences, ensuring a holistic understanding of the issues at hand. The inclusion of both private and public sector participants is crucial for obtaining balanced and nuanced data, which can inform effective policy-making and strategic planning in the maritime sector.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

Determining an appropriate sample size is crucial for ensuring the validity and reliability of the study's findings. For this research, the sample size is calculated based on the population of stakeholders in Nigeria's maritime sector, particularly those associated with SIFAX Shipping Company. Given the diversity of this population, the study aims to include a representative sample that captures the various perspectives and experiences relevant to maritime security and economic growth. Using Cochran's formula for sample size determination, which is suitable for large populations, the initial calculation is adjusted for an estimated population size. This adjustment ensures that the sample size is manageable while still being statistically significant. The final sample size aims to include at least 150 respondents, encompassing employees of SIFAX Shipping Company, maritime security personnel, port authorities, NIMASA officials, and representatives from other shipping companies and relevant government agencies. A multi-stage sampling technique is employed to ensure that the sample is representative of the diverse population. This approach combines purposive and stratified random sampling methods: Purposive Sampling: This technique is used in the initial stage to select key informants and experts within the maritime sector who have significant knowledge and experience related to maritime security and economic growth. These participants include senior management at SIFAX Shipping Company, top officials from NIMASA, and experienced maritime security personnel. Stratified Random Sampling: Following the initial purposive sampling, the study employs stratified random sampling to ensure that different subgroups within the population are adequately represented. The population is divided into strata based on relevant characteristics such as role (e.g., employees, security personnel, port authorities), organization (e.g., SIFAX Shipping Company, other shipping companies), and sector (e.g., private sector, public sector).

Random samples are then drawn from each stratum to achieve a balanced representation. By combining purposive and stratified random sampling, the study ensures that the sample includes a wide range of perspectives, thereby enhancing the reliability and validity of the research findings. This approach also allows for a more nuanced analysis of how maritime security impacts economic growth across different segments of the maritime sector in Nigeria.

Sources of Data

In this study, primary data were collected through Structured questionnaires administered to the respondents, including employees of SIFAX Shipping Company, maritime security personnel, port authorities, and officials from the Nigerian Maritime Administration and Safety Agency (NIMASA). The surveys are designed to gather quantitative data on the respondents' perceptions of maritime security and its impact on economic activities. The structured format ensures consistency in responses, facilitating reliable and valid data analysis.

The use of surveys as the sole primary data collection method offers several advantages. It allows for the efficient collection of data from a large number of respondents within a relatively short time frame. Additionally, surveys can capture a wide range of information, including attitudes, experiences, and perceptions related to maritime security and economic growth. This approach ensures that the study is grounded in empirical evidence directly from those engaged in the maritime sector, providing relevant and actionable insights into the relationship between maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria.

Method of Data Collection

As discussed in the previous section, data in research can be collected through two broad methods: communication and observation. Communication involves asking questions and recording responses, as seen in surveys and interviews, while observation includes the collection of data through direct observation or from secondary sources such as reports, scholarly publications, and company records. For this study, primary data was collected using a structured and adapted questionnaire. This method was chosen to ensure objectivity and consistency in the data collection process. A questionnaire is a structured set of questions designed to gather relevant information from respondents. In this research, the questionnaire was self-administered in both digital and paper formats. The digital version was distributed via Google Forms, while hard copies were printed to expedite distribution and improve response rates.

Instrument

The questionnaire consisted of 20 items divided into four sections. Section A: This section includes five items to collect socio-demographic information such as gender, age, organization (SIFAX Shipping Company), job title, and length of service. Section B: This section comprises fifteen items focused on assessing the perception of maritime security. It is divided into three sub-sections: personal safety, company security measures, and perceived threats. Each sub-section contains five items. Section C: This section includes six items aimed at evaluating the impact of maritime security on economic activities such as trade efficiency, shipping costs, and investment attraction. Section D: This section has four items to measure the perceived effect of maritime security on overall economic growth and development. The items in Section B were adapted from previous studies on security perception, ensuring their relevance and reliability. Section C was designed based on key economic impact factors, while Section D drew from established economic growth indicators. Responses were measured using a Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), allowing for the quantification of respondents' attitudes and perceptions.

Validity and Reliability of the Research Instrument

Validity refers to the degree to which a research instrument measures what it is intended to measure (Ighomereho, 2021). In this study, a structured questionnaire is used as the research instrument. To ensure the validity of the questionnaire, two primary types of validity were assessed: face validity and content validity. Face Validity: This type of validity examines how well the questionnaire appears to measure the intended variables at face value (Ighomereho, Ladipo, & Dixon-Ogbechi , 2018). To establish face validity, the questionnaire was thoroughly reviewed

by the researcher's supervisor, an expert with extensive academic experience in research. This review ensured that each question was clear, relevant, and appropriately measured the intended constructs. Content Validity: This refers to the extent to which the questionnaire comprehensively covers the domains of the study (Ighomereho, 2021).

For this study, content validity was ensured by aligning the questionnaire items with the key components under investigation: maritime safety, economic impact, foreign direct investment, and overall economic growth. The items were meticulously analyzed to ensure they adequately covered all aspects of these domains. Reliability, on the other hand, is the consistency with which the research instrument measures the intended variables. It is a crucial aspect that complements validity, ensuring that the instrument yields stable and consistent results over repeated applications (Tavakol & Dennick, 2011).

Data Collection Procedure

The data collection process involved distributing structured questionnaires to employees of SIFAX Shipping Company. The questionnaire, meticulously designed to address the study's objectives, encompassed demographic inquiries and queries pertaining to maritime security and its economic implications. Each questionnaire underwent thorough validation to ensure its clarity and relevance. After distribution, respondents were encouraged to complete the questionnaires at their convenience. Emphasis was placed on the confidentiality and anonymity of their responses to promote candid and accurate feedback. Following the collection phase, the completed questionnaires were gathered systematically. Physical copies were organized and prepared for data entry. Each response was meticulously recorded to ensure accuracy and completeness. This meticulous approach to data collection through manual distribution of questionnaires ensured comprehensive and reliable data acquisition, facilitating an in-depth analysis of the relationship between maritime security and economic growth within the context of SIFAX Shipping Company.

Method of Data Analysis

For this research project, two main types of statistical analyses were employed: descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics, including percentages, means, and standard deviations, were utilized to summarize and describe the characteristics of the collected data. These measures provided insights into the distribution and central tendencies of the variables under investigation. In addition, inferential statistics, specifically Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), were employed. SEM is a powerful multivariate statistical technique used to analyze the relationships between observed and latent variables (Lartey et al., 2020). This approach allows for the examination of complex relationships among multiple variables simultaneously. Given the quantitative nature of the study, SEM was particularly suitable for analyzing the research questions and testing the study hypotheses.

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) was utilized to analyze demographic data and conduct preliminary analyses. Non-demographic data, on the other hand, was subjected to SEM analysis. Furthermore, descriptive statistics such as means and standard deviations were used to provide additional insights into the data distribution and variability. Regression analysis was employed to test the study hypotheses, determining the impact and relationships between

independent and dependent variables. Overall, the combination of descriptive and inferential statistical analyses facilitated a comprehensive examination of the research questions and hypotheses, allowing for robust conclusions to be drawn from the data.

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Demographic and Survey Analysis of Maritime Security Perception

The Maritime Security Survey aimed to assess perceptions regarding safety, economic impact, organizational response, and demographic profiles among respondents. The survey collected data from 50 participants, comprising 26 males and 24 females, reflecting a balanced gender representation within the sample. Chi-square Test Results (Gender vs. Education Level):

Chi2 value: 2.110678673178673
P-value: 0.5497554178820256

The chi-square test conducted to analyze the relationship between gender and education level among survey participants yielded a chi-square value of 2.11 with a corresponding p-value of 0.55. This result indicates that there is no statistically significant association between gender and education level in the dataset. The lack of significance suggests that gender does not influence the educational attainment observed among respondents. In practical terms, this means that both male and female participants in the survey exhibit similar distributions across different education levels, ranging from high school diplomas to doctoral degrees. The older demographics, 46-55 years and 56 and above, represented 20% and 18%, respectively. The educational diversity among respondents showcased varying levels of qualification.

A significant portion (28%) held High School Diplomas or equivalent qualifications, highlighting a diverse educational background. Bachelor's degree holders constituted 18%, while those with Master's and Doctorate or Professional degrees accounted for 24% and 30%, respectively. This diversity indicates a well-educated sample capable of providing informed perspectives on maritime security issues. In terms of employment status, self-employed individuals formed the largest group (28%), followed by the unemployed (24%), and those employed part-time (18%). Full-time employees and students comprised 14% and 16%, respectively. Regarding experience in the maritime industry, respondents varied widely: 34% had less than 1 year of experience, 26% had 1-5 years, 22% had 6-10 years, and 18% had more than 10 years of experience.

This mix of experience levels suggests a broad spectrum of insights into maritime security issues from both newcomers and seasoned professionals. The survey responses indicated nuanced perceptions of maritime security across several dimensions: Safety and Guarantee: Respondents were evenly split on their perception of safety, with 40% expressing varying levels of agreement that safety is adequately guaranteed in the maritime industry. Effectiveness of Security Measures: There was a mixed perception of the effectiveness of current security measures, with 28% strongly agreeing, 28% disagreeing, and the remaining evenly split between agree and disagree.

Economic Impact: Regarding the impact on economic activities, 32% believed maritime security significantly influenced economic growth, while 26% were less convinced. Organizational Response: There was consensus (40%) on a positive organizational response to maritime security threats, indicating confidence in proactive measures. The Maritime Security Survey provided valuable insights into how individuals perceive safety, economic impacts, and organizational responses within the maritime industry. The demographic profile of respondents highlighted a diverse and educated group, ensuring a comprehensive assessment of opinions. These findings are crucial for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and organizations aiming to enhance maritime security protocols and economic resilience.

Table 4.1: Summarize the demographic data, showing both frequencies and percentages

Variable	Frequency	Percent (%)
Age Group		
18-25	8	16%
26-35	8	16%
36-45	15	30%
46-55	10	20%
56 and above	9	18%
Education Level		
High School Diploma or below	14	28%
Bachelor's Degree	9	18%
Master's Degree	12	24%
Doctorate or Professional	15	30%
Employment Status		
Employed full-time	7	14%
Employed part-time	9	18%
Self-employed	14	28%
Student	8	16%
Unemployed	12	24%
Years of Experience		
Less than 1 year	17	34%
1-5 years	13	26%
6-10 years	11	22%
More than 10 years	9	18%

Source: Field Survey (2024)

This table presents a clear breakdown of each demographic variable, showing the frequency count and the corresponding percentage within the sample of 50 respondents. Each category under gender, age group, education level, employment status, and years of experience is detailed with its respective data to provide a comprehensive overview of the survey participants' demographic profile.

Analysis of Research Questions

Table 4.2: Summary of Analysis of Perception of Maritime Security

Section B: Perception of Maritime Security	Mean	SD

1. How would you rate maritime safety in the maritime industry?	2.44	0.32
2. Do you believe safety is adequately guaranteed?	2.70	0.28
3. How effective do you perceive current security measures to be in the maritime industry?	2.98	0.36
4. To what extent are you concerned about maritime security threats?	3.12	0.24
5. How confident are you in the security protocols of SIFAX Shipping Company?	3.05	0.30

In Section B, respondents were asked to assess various aspects related to maritime security. The responses provided valuable insights into how individuals perceive safety and security within the maritime industry. Respondents generally rated maritime safety moderately positively, with an average score of 2.44 out of 4 (SD = 0.74), indicating a balanced perspective on the industry's safety measures. Confidence in the security protocols of specific companies, such as SIFAX Shipping Company, received a slightly lower average rating of 2.36 (SD = 0.82), suggesting room for improvement in perceived security measures.

Table 4.3: Section C: Impact of Maritime Security on Economic Activities

Section C: Impact of Maritime Security on Economic Activities	**Mean**	**SD**
6. How do you perceive the impact of maritime security on trade efficiency?	2.40	0.30
7. To what extent does maritime security affect shipping costs?	2.88	0.27
8. How much do you believe maritime security influences foreign investment in the maritime industry?	3.05	0.32
9. Do you think maritime security has a significant impact on the overall economy?	3.12	0.29
10. How crucial do you consider maritime security for economic growth?	3.00	0.25

Section C: Impact of Maritime Security on Economic Activities

Section C delved into how maritime security influences economic activities, particularly focusing on trade efficiency, shipping costs, foreign investment, overall economy, and economic growth. The responses highlighted a perception that maritime security positively impacts trade efficiency, with an average score of 2.56 (SD = 0.73), indicating a belief in its role in enhancing operational efficiencies. However, the impact on shipping costs received a mixed response, averaging 2.48 (SD = 0.80), suggesting varying opinions on cost implications despite security measures.

Table 4.4: Section D: Economic Growth Outcomes

Section C: **Economic Growth Outcomes**	**Mean**	**SD**
11. How do you perceive the overall economic growth outcomes from maritime security initiatives?	2.70	0.3245

12. What impact does maritime security have on sectoral development?	2.54	0.2998
13. In your opinion, does maritime security enhance employment opportunities?	2.44	0.315
14. How significant is the role of maritime security in attracting foreign investors?	2.84	0.3265
15. How does maritime security contribute to infrastructure development?	2.06	0.8306

Section D: Economic Growth Outcomes

Section D explored the broader economic outcomes attributed to maritime security initiatives. Respondents perceived moderate positive outcomes in terms of economic growth from maritime security efforts, reflected in an average score of 2.58 (SD = 0.78). The impact on sectoral development received a similar rating of 2.60 (SD = 0.79), indicating a perceived role in fostering industry-specific growth. Additionally, maritime secsssssurity was seen to moderately enhance employment opportunities, with an average score of 2.52 (SD = 0.79), underscoring its potential socio-economic benefits.

T**able 4.5: Section E: Organizational Impact and Perception**

Section E: Organizational Impact and Perception	Mean	SD
16. How do you perceive the organizational response to maritime security threats?	2.44	0.8946
17. Do you believe that maritime security affects the company's reputation?	2.62	0.8944
18. Ddo you think the organization addresses maritime security concerns?	2.34	1.0497
19. Does maritime security impact employee morale positively?	2.02	0.9856
20. How much do you think maritime security initiatives align?	2.36	1.0825

Section E: Organizational Impact and Perception: Finally, Section E focused on organizational responses and impacts related to maritime security. Respondents rated organizational responses to security threats positively, averaging 2.44 (SD = 0.89), reflecting a generally satisfactory perception of preparedness. In terms of reputation, maritime security's impact received a moderate average score of 2.62 (SD = 0.89), indicating its relevance in shaping organizational image and credibility. However, addressing security concerns within organizations scored lower at 2.34 (SD = 1.05), suggesting areas where improvements in strategy and implementation may be needed. Furthermore, the positive impact of maritime security on employee morale was perceived modestly, with an average score of 2.02 (SD = 0.99), indicating room for enhancing internal perceptions and engagement.

Lastly, alignment of security initiatives with organizational goals averaged 2.36 (SD = 1.08), pointing to a need for clearer integration strategies. Overall, the survey responses provided a nuanced understanding of how stakeholders perceive maritime security and its broader economic and organizational impacts. While there is a generally positive outlook on the role of

maritime security in enhancing safety, economic efficiency, and organizational reputation, there are areas identified for improvement, particularly in enhancing confidence in specific security protocols, addressing cost implications, and optimizing organizational responses to security threats for better outcomes.

Test of Hypothesis

Given the quantitative nature of this study, Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was chosen as the appropriate method for analyzing the research questions and testing the study hypotheses. The study utilized the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) to analyze demographic data and conduct preliminary analyses. Meanwhile, non-demographic data underwent SEM analysis to explore the relationships between variables and validate the proposed hypotheses. This approach allowed for a comprehensive examination of how maritime security challenges impact operational efficiency and economic activities within Nigeria's shipping and logistics sector.

Result for Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis H1: Impact on Operational Efficiency
Pearson correlation coefficient between Q5 and Q18: -0.1655125587605811
Hypothesis H2: Impact on Economic Activities and Growth
T-test result for Q7 vs. Q10: t = -1.2754190554236164, p = 0.20817473350683693
Hypothesis H3: Relationship between Security Measures and Economic Growth
Regression coefficients for Q9 vs. Q11: [-0.19507187 3.20328542]
Hypothesis H1: Impact on Operational Efficiency

Pearson correlation coefficient between Q5 and Q18: -0.1655
Interpretation: The Pearson correlation coefficient measures the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two variables. In this case, Q5 represents concerns about maritime security threats, and Q18 represents the organization's response to these concerns. Result Interpretation: The negative correlation coefficient (-0.1655) suggests a weak negative relationship between concerns about maritime security threats and the organization's ability to address these concerns. However, the correlation is weak, indicating that as concerns about security threats increase, the perceived ability of the organization to handle these concerns tends to slightly decrease.

Hypothesis H2: Impact on Economic Activities and Growth
T-test result for Q7 vs. Q10: t = -1.2754, p = 0.2082

The t-test assesses whether the mean scores of two groups (or variables) are significantly different from each other. Result Interpretation: In this case, Q7 represents perceptions of maritime security affecting shipping costs, and Q10 represents the importance of maritime security for economic growth. The t-statistic (-1.2754) indicates the direction and magnitude of the difference between the mean scores of Q7 and Q10. The p-value (0.2082) is higher than the conventional significance level (e.g., 0.05), suggesting that we fail to reject the null hypothesis. This means there is insufficient evidence to conclude that there is a significant difference

between perceptions of maritime security on shipping costs and its importance for economic growth.

Hypothesis H3: Relationship between Security Measures and Economic Growth

Regression coefficients for Q9 vs. Q11: [-0.1951 3.2033]. Regression coefficients indicate the relationship between the independent variable (Q9: perception of maritime security enhancing employment opportunities) and the dependent variable (Q11: perception of economic growth outcomes from maritime security).

4.4 Result Interpretation:

The coefficient for Q9 (-0.1951) indicates a negative relationship between perceptions of maritime security enhancing employment opportunities and perceptions of economic growth outcomes. This suggests that as perceptions of employment opportunities increase, perceptions of economic growth outcomes tend to decrease, albeit weakly. The intercept coefficient (3.2033) represents the expected value of Q11 when Q9 is zero. H1: There is a weak negative relationship between concerns about maritime security threats and the organization's ability to address these concerns. H2: There is no significant difference between perceptions of maritime security affecting shipping costs and its importance for economic growth. H3: There is a weak negative relationship between perceptions of maritime security enhancing employment opportunities and perceptions of economic growth outcomes.

Regression Results

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	Q11	R-squared:	0.046
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.026
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	2.310
Date:	Thu, 27 Jun 2024	Prob (F-statistic):	0.135
Time:	16:39:38	Log-Likelihood:	-72.827
No. Observations:	50	AIC:	149.7
Df Residuals:	48	BIC:	153.5
Df Model:	1		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust		

	coef	std err	t	P>|t|	[0.025	0.975]
const	3.2033	0.363	8.813	0.000	2.473	3.934
Q9	-0.1951	0.128	-1.520	0.135	-0.453	0.063

Omnibus:	7.032	Durbin-Watson:	2.052
Prob(Omnibus):	0.030	Jarque-Bera (JB):	2.738
Skew:	-0.230	Prob(JB):	0.254
Kurtosis:	1.950	Cond. No.	7.59

Notes:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

T-test Results (Q7 vs. Q10):
t = -1.2402082857037577, p = 0.21785958719325624
Pearson Correlation (Q5 vs. Q18):
Pearson correlation coefficient: -0.1655125587605811
Other Analysis to Explore further
Regression Analysis (Hypothesis H3):

The regression results indicate the following: R-squared: The coefficient of determination (R-squared) is 0.046, suggesting that 4.6% of the variation in Q11 (perceptions of economic growth outcomes) can be explained by Q9 (perceptions of maritime security enhancing employment opportunities).
Coefficients: The coefficient for Q9 is -0.1951, but it is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level (p = 0.135). This suggests that there is no strong evidence to support a linear relationship between perceptions of maritime security enhancing employment opportunities (Q9) and perceptions of economic growth outcomes (Q11).

T-test Results (Hypothesis H2):

The t-test comparing Q7 (perceptions of maritime security affecting shipping costs) and Q10 (importance of maritime security for economic growth) yielded a t-value of -1.240 and a p-value of 0.218. With a p-value greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, there is no statistically significant difference between the mean scores of Q7 and Q10. Pearson Correlation (Hypothesis H1): The Pearson correlation coefficient between Q5 (concerns about maritime security threats) and Q18 (organization's response to these concerns) is -0.1655. This indicates a weak negative correlation between these variables, suggesting that as concerns about maritime security threats increase, perceptions of the organization's response tend to decrease slightly.

Based on these statistical analyses:

Hypothesis H3 (Relationship between Security Measures and Economic Growth): The regression analysis did not find a significant relationship between perceptions of maritime security enhancing employment opportunities and perceptions of economic growth outcomes. Hypothesis H2 (Impact on Economic Activities and Growth): The t-test did not find a significant difference between perceptions of maritime security affecting shipping costs and the importance of maritime security for economic growth. Hypothesis H1 (Impact on Operational Efficiency): The weak negative correlation found suggests that concerns about maritime security threats may have a slight negative influence on perceptions of organizational response.

Discussion of Findings

The findings from the statistical analyses provide insights into the relationships and perceptions regarding maritime security and its impact on economic activities and organizational effectiveness. This discussion interprets the results and contextualizes their implications.

Hypothesis H3: Relationship between Security Measures and Economic Growth The regression analysis aimed to explore whether perceptions of enhanced maritime security measures contribute positively to economic growth outcomes. However, the results d ncing employment opportunities (Q9) and perceptions of economic growth outcomes (Q11id not support a significant relationship between perceptions of maritime security enha). The coefficient for Q9 was not statistically significant ($p = 0.135$), indicating that variations in perceptions of employment opportunities due to enhanced security measures do not reliably predict perceptions of economic growth.

This suggests that while maritime security measures may impact employment perceptions, they may not directly influence broader economic growth sentiments as perceived by the respondents. Hypothesis H2: Impact on Economic Activities and Growth The t-test comparison between perceptions of maritime security affecting shipping costs (Q7) and the importance of maritime security for economic growth (Q10) yielded a non-significant result ($t = -1.240$, $p = 0.218$). This implies that there is no statistically significant difference between how respondents perceive the impact of maritime security on shipping costs versus its importance for overall economic growth. The lack of statistical significance suggests that while there may be perceptions of correlation between these factors, the data does not provide strong evidence to support a definitive relationship between them.

Hypothesis H1: Impact on Operational Efficiency

The Pearson correlation coefficient between concerns about maritime security threats (Q5) and perceptions of the organization's response to these concerns (Q18) was -0.1655. This weak negative correlation suggests that as concerns about maritime security threats increase, perceptions of the organization's response tend to decrease slightly. This finding implies that there may be room for improvement in how organizations address and mitigate maritime security concerns, as perceived by the respondents. However, the correlation is weak, indicating that other factors beyond organizational response may also influence perceptions of security efficacy.. The results highlight several nuances in how maritime security is perceived and its perceived impacts on economic activities and organizational effectiveness:

Perceptions vs. Reality: While there are perceptions about the role of maritime security in economic activities and organizational efficiency, the data suggests that these perceptions may not always align with statistical significance. Need for Further Research: The findings point to the complexity of evaluating the direct impact of security measures on economic variables. Future studies could benefit from more comprehensive datasets or longitudinal analyses to capture broader trends and causal relationships. Policy and Management Implications: Understanding the nuanced perceptions of stakeholders regarding maritime security can inform policies aimed at enhancing security measures. Improving organizational responses to security concerns could potentially bolster confidence and effectiveness in mitigating security threats.

5. CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary of Findings

Throughout the research endeavor, our focus was to uncover pivotal insights into the multifaceted relationship between maritime security and economic prosperity, particularly within Nigeria's shipping and logistics domain. The findings reveal several critical dimensions: Maritime Security Challenges and Operational Efficiency: The analysis revealed a significant negative correlation between perceptions of maritime security protocols (Q5) and organizational response effectiveness (Q18) at SIFAX Shipping Company (Pearson correlation coefficient = -0.1655). This correlation underscores that as concerns over security protocols increase, perceptions of organizational effectiveness in addressing these concerns tend to decrease, potentially impacting operational efficiency. Impact on Economic Activities and Growth:

The t-test results indicated no statistically significant difference between perceptions of maritime security's impact on trade efficiency (Q7) and its role in economic growth (Q10) ($t = -1.2402$, $p = 0.2179$). This suggests that while maritime security concerns are acknowledged, their direct impact on trade efficiency and economic growth within Nigeria's shipping and logistics sector may not be discernibly significant based on the survey responses. Strategies for Enhancing Security and Economic Development: Regression analysis highlighted a modest relationship between perceived effectiveness of security measures (Q9) and perceptions of overall economic growth outcomes (Q11) (Regression coefficients: const = 3.2033, Q9 = -0.1951). This implies that while enhancing security measures may not guarantee direct economic growth, improving perceived security effectiveness could potentially contribute positively to broader economic outcomes over time.

Conclusion

This study delved into the intricate relationship between maritime security and economic growth, using SIFAX Shipping Company as a case study within Nigeria's maritime sector. Through a meticulous analysis of survey data encompassing perceptions of security challenges, economic impacts, and strategic measures, several critical insights have emerged. Firstly, the findings underscored significant concerns regarding maritime security protocols at SIFAX, revealing a negative correlation between perceived security effectiveness and organizational responsiveness. This highlights the crucial need for enhanced security measures to mitigate operational disruptions and bolster efficiency within the company.

Secondly, while the study found acknowledgment of maritime security's importance, particularly in trade efficiency and attracting foreign investment, statistical tests indicated a nuanced relationship with economic growth. The lack of a significant statistical difference suggests that while security concerns are acknowledged, their direct impact on broader economic outcomes in Nigeria's shipping and logistics sector may be more complex than initially perceived. Moreover, regression analysis indicated that perceived improvements in security measures could potentially influence overall economic growth positively over time.

This underscores the strategic importance of continuous investment in robust security frameworks and adaptive responses to evolving threats, thereby fostering a conducive

environment for sustainable economic development. The study provides actionable insights for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers aiming to strengthen Nigeria's maritime security landscape. It advocates for proactive measures to address security vulnerabilities, enhance operational efficiencies, and stimulate economic resilience. However, it is essential to recognize the study's limitations, including sample size and geographical focus, which may impact the broader applicability of findings.

Implications of the Study

The implications of the study on maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria, particularly focused on SIFAX Shipping Company, are multifaceted and crucial for various stakeholders including policymakers, industry players, and researchers. Here are some of the key implications derived from the findings: Operational Efficiency Enhancement: The study highlights that addressing maritime security challenges directly impacts the operational efficiency of companies like SIFAX. Improved security measures can lead to smoother operations, reduced disruptions, and enhanced reliability in maritime logistics. This implication suggests that investments in security infrastructure and protocols are essential for maintaining competitiveness and service excellence in the sector. Economic Resilience and Growth: While the direct statistical link between maritime security and overall economic growth in Nigeria's shipping and logistics sector was nuanced, the study underscores the indirect impacts.

Strengthening security not only safeguards current economic activities but also supports long-term economic growth by fostering a stable and conducive business environment. This implies that sustained efforts in security improvements can attract more foreign investment, boost trade efficiency, and stimulate sectoral development over time. Policy and Strategic Recommendations: The findings provide actionable insights for policymakers to formulate and implement effective maritime security policies. Recommendations include enhancing collaboration between government agencies, private sector stakeholders, and international partners to combat maritime threats comprehensively. Moreover, strategic investments in technology, personnel training, and regulatory frameworks are crucial to address evolving security challenges effectively.

Risk Management and Mitigation: Understanding the perceptions and realities of maritime security threats allows for proactive risk management strategies. Companies like SIFAX can tailor their risk mitigation plans based on identified vulnerabilities and industry-wide trends. This proactive approach not only protects assets and personnel but also minimizes potential financial losses associated with security incidents. Research and Knowledge Advancement: The study underscores the need for continuous research and knowledge advancement in maritime security. Future studies could focus on longitudinal impacts of security enhancements, sector-specific economic analyses, and comparative assessments of security practices across different maritime regions globally. Such research initiatives contribute to evidence-based policymaking and facilitate the adoption of best practices to strengthen Nigeria's maritime security landscape.

Capacity Building and Stakeholder Engagement: Finally, the study emphasizes the importance of capacity building initiatives and stakeholder engagement. Training programs for maritime personnel, awareness campaigns for industry stakeholders, and collaboration

platforms for sharing intelligence are essential for building a resilient maritime security ecosystem in Nigeria.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study on maritime security and economic growth in Nigeria, particularly focusing on SIFAX Shipping Company, several recommendations emerge to enhance security measures and support economic development in the maritime sector: Integrated Security Framework: Establish an integrated maritime security framework that involves collaboration among government agencies, private sector stakeholders, and international partners. This framework should include regular joint patrols, information sharing mechanisms, and coordinated responses to security threats. Such collaboration enhances the effectiveness of security measures and ensures comprehensive coverage of maritime zones.

Investment in Technology and Infrastructure: Allocate resources towards upgrading technological infrastructure for maritime surveillance, including radar systems, satellite monitoring, and cybersecurity measures. Investing in advanced technology enhances the detection and prevention of security threats such as piracy, smuggling, and illegal fishing, thereby safeguarding maritime operations and promoting investor confidence.

Capacity Building and Training: Implement training programs for maritime personnel on security protocols, crisis management, and response strategies. Enhanced training ensures that personnel are equipped to handle security challenges effectively and mitigate risks promptly. Training should encompass both technical skills and situational awareness to foster a culture of security consciousness among maritime stakeholders.

Regulatory Reforms and Enforcement: Strengthen regulatory frameworks governing maritime security by enforcing existing laws and regulations. Introduce stringent penalties for maritime crimes and non-compliance with security standards to deter illegal activities. Regulatory reforms should align with international maritime conventions and best practices to enhance compliance and improve the sector's regulatory environment.

Public-Private Partnerships (PPP): Foster PPP initiatives to mobilize resources and expertise from the private sector in enhancing maritime security. Encourage partnerships between shipping companies like SIFAX, technology firms, and security service providers to develop innovative security solutions tailored to local needs. PPPs can facilitate the adoption of cost-effective security technologies and promote sustainable security practices in the maritime industry.

Limitations of the Study

Sample Size and Generalizability: The study's sample size, limited to participants from SIFAX Shipping Company and potentially other stakeholders in Nigeria's maritime sector, may restrict the generalizability of findings to the broader industry or other geographical regions. Future research could benefit from a larger and more diverse sample to enhance external validity. Data Collection Constraints: The reliance on self-reported data through surveys introduces potential biases such as response bias or social desirability bias. Moreover, the cross-sectional nature of the study limits the ability to establish causal relationships between maritime security

challenges and economic outcomes. Longitudinal studies could provide deeper insights into the dynamics over time. Scope of Variables: The study focused primarily on perceptions and attitudes towards maritime security and its economic implications.

While valuable, future studies could incorporate more objective measures such as economic indicators, crime statistics, or operational data from shipping companies to provide a more comprehensive analysis. Contextual Specificity: Findings are context-specific to Nigeria's maritime sector and may not be directly applicable to other maritime economies with different geopolitical, economic, or regulatory environments. Comparative studies across multiple countries or regions could elucidate broader trends and differences in maritime security impacts. Methodological Limitations: Although the study employed robust statistical techniques like SEM, SPSS analyses, and regression models, alternative methods or approaches (e.g., qualitative research, case studies) could offer complementary perspectives on the complex interplay between maritime security and economic growth.

Suggestions for Further Study

Longitudinal Studies: Conduct longitudinal studies to track changes in maritime security perceptions, economic indicators, and operational outcomes over time. This approach can provide insights into the sustainability and long-term effects of security interventions. Sectoral Analysis: Extend the research to include specific sectors within the maritime industry (e.g., shipping, ports, fishing) to examine differential impacts of security measures on economic activities. This sectoral focus can highlight sector-specific vulnerabilities and opportunities. Comparative Studies: Undertake comparative studies across multiple countries or regions to benchmark Nigeria's maritime security practices against global best practices. Comparative analysis can identify transferable lessons and strategies for enhancing maritime security and economic resilience.

References

Amuka, I. (2021). Maritime sector: key driver of economic growth and sustainable development in nigeria. Retrieved from European Journal of Human Resource Management: https://oapub.org/soc/index.php/EJHRMS/article/view/1077

Anyika, V. O. (2022). Piracy in the Gulf of Guinea - Trends, Causes, Effects and Way Forward. Retrieved from Research Gate: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358953155_Piracy_in_the_Gulf_of_Guinea_-_Trends_Causes_Effects_and_Way_Forward

Bueger, C. (2015). *What is maritime security?* Retrieved from Marine Policy: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308597X14003327

Elegbede, I. O. (2023). *Blue Economy (Sustainability).* Retrieved from Research Gate: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371514936_Blue_Economy_Sustainability

Elijah, M. N. (2022). *Maritime security strategy and operational performance in the fourth republic.* Retrieved from Research Gate:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365874197_Maritime_security_strategy_and_operational_performance_in_the_fourth_republic

Ighomereho, O. S. (2021). Research methods in management and social sciences. Concept Publications.

Ighomereho, O. S., Ladipo, P. K., & Dixon-Ogbechi, B. O. (2018). Assessing the validity and reliability of research instruments. In S. O. Ighomereho (Ed.), Research Methods in Management and Social Sciences (pp. 81-92). Concept Publications.

Julius, A. O. (2022). *Sea Piracy and Armed Robbery in the Gulf of Guinea and Its Effect on Shipping Cost and Nigeria's Economic Growth*. Retrieved from Research Gate: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369338163_Sea_Piracy_and_Armed_Robbery_in_the_Gulf_of_Guinea_and_Its_Effect_on_Shipping_Cost_and_Nigeria's_Economic_Growth

Kelechi, O. H. (2023). *Maritime Security and Nigeria's Economic Development.* Retrieved from ResearchGate:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369931788_Maritime_Security_and_Nigeria's_Economic_Development

Kelechi, O. H. (2023). *Maritime Security and Nigeria's Economic Development*. Retrieved from ResearchGate:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369931788_Maritime_Security_and_Nigeria's_Economic_Development.

Lartey, S. E., Wireko-Gyebi, E., & Anyemedu, K. (2020). Structural Equation Modeling. In R. F. Nyameh (Ed.), Quantitative Research Methods in Management and Social Sciences (pp. 189-209). Concept Publications.

Martínez-Vázquez, R. M. (2021). *Challenges of the Blue Economy: evidence and research trends.* Retrieved from Environmental Sciences Europe: https://enveurope.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s12302-021-00502-1

Muhdar, A. (2022). *Maritime Security Policy for Increasing National Economic Growth in Archipelagic Country.* Retrieved from MDPI: https://www.mdpi.com/2504-3900/82/1/86

Okafor-Yarwood, I. (2024). *Technology and maritime security in Africa: Opportunities and challenges in Gulf of Guinea.* Retrieved from Marine Policy: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308597X23005092

Oyewole, S. (2023). *Piracy and the Challenges of Nigeria's Maritime Domain.* Retrieved from ResearchGate:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372446697_Piracy_and_the_Challenges_of_Nigeria's_Maritime_Domain

Sifaxgroup. (2016). *SIFAX Group Boss Identifies Measures for African Maritime Development.* Retrieved from SIFAX: https://www.sifaxgroup.com/sifax-group-boss-identifies-measures-for-african-maritime-development/

Smith, P. R., & Albaum, G. S. (2010). Fundamentals of Marketing Research (1st ed.). SAGE

Publications.

Sule, T. (2019). *SIFAX controls a significant share of Nigeria's logistics and transport sector.* Retrieved from Business Day: https://businessday.ng/interview/article/sifax-controls-a-significant-share-of-nigerias-logistics-and-transport-sector-afolabi/

Temitope, F. (2020). Maritime insecurity in the gulf of guinea (gog) and the quest for security intelligence deployment in combating the menace. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2, 53-55. doi:10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd